Open Access | PP: 44-46



Case Report

Localized Penile Cancer Glans (Squamous Cell Carcinoma of Glans Penis Case Report)

Mohammed Abdul Qader Al-Malmi, MD¹, Tawfeq Hassan Albadani²

¹Assistant Professor of Dermatology and Venereology, Al-Kuwait University Hospital, Sana'a University Medical School, Sana'a, Republic of Yemen.

²Professor of Urology, Sana'a Republic of Yemen, Yemen.

Abstract

Background: Penile cancer is uncommon. Penile squamous cell carcinoma is the most common penile malignancy, behaves similarly to squamous cell carcinoma in other parts of the skin.

Objective: The objective of the study was to study ulcerative eroded skin disease in the glans penis.

Patients and Methods: Forty years old farmer Yemeni male patient presented with erosive ulcerative painful skin lesion in all his glans penis. The duration was one year. Skin biopsy was done.

Results: The clinical picture and the investigations showed the patient had localized penile cancer or squamous cell carcinomas. No specific laboratory studies or tumor markers are diagnostic for penile cancer. The patient was treated by partial panectomy. There were no regional lymph node enlargements.

Conclusion: localized penile cancer was rare in republic of Yemen. This case was first reported.

CASE STUDY

Forty years old Yemeni male patient presented with ulcerative eroded skin lesion localized to his glans penis. Figure (1). Skin biopsy showed keratinization, epithelial pearl formation, and various degrees of mitotic activity. The normal rete pegs are disrupted, and invasive lesions penetrate the basement membrane and surrounding structures. Figures (2,3,4). The patient treated by partial penictomy.



Figure 1. localized erosive ulcerative skin lesion in the glans penis



Figure 2. keratinization, epithelial pearl formation, and various degrees of mitotic activity. The normal rete pegs are disrupted, and invasive lesions penetrate the basement membrane and surrounding structures.



Figure 3. keratinization, epithelial pearl formation, and various degrees of mitotic activity. The normal rete pegs are disrupted, and invasive lesions penetrate the basement membrane and surrounding structures.



Figure 4. keratinization, epithelial pearl formation, and various degrees of mitotic activity. The normal rete pegs are disrupted, and invasive lesions penetrate the basement membrane and surrounding structures.

COMMENT

Patients with carcinoma of the penis tend to delay seeking medical attention, with 15-50% delaying medical attention for more than 1 year from onset. This delay is attributed to embarrassment, guilt, fear, ignorance, and personal neglect. Patients often try to treat themselves with various skin creams and lotions. These may appear to be effective for a time, which further delays the diagnosis and worsens the prognosis. Delays may also attributable to the physician. Some patients with penile cancer report that they receive various salves and antibiotics from their primary care physicians before they see an urologist. A delay in diagnosis and therapy not only affects the likelihood of survival but also limits the ability to retain a functioning and cosmetically satisfactory result. Nearly 25% of dysplastic or neoplastic penile lesions are misdiagnosed as being benign. A biopsy should be considered in any uncircumcised male who presents with a penile lesion.

The Jackson classification is as follows:

Stage I (A): The tumor is confined to the glans, prepuce, or both.

Stage II (B): The tumor extends onto the shaft of the penis.

Stage III (C): The tumor has inguinal metastasis that is operable.

Stage IV (D): The tumor involves adjacent structures and is associated with inoperable inguinal metastasis or distant metastasis.

Universal Library of Medical and Health Sciences

The TNM classification of the primary tumor (T) is below. Note that the following description is devoid of N (node) and M (metastasis) descriptions. These stages simply relate the presence or absence of nodal and distant metastases.

- TX: Primary tumor cannot be assessed.
- T0: Primary tumor is not evident.
- Tis: CIS is present.
- Ta: Noninvasive verrucous carcinoma is present.
- T1: Tumor invades subepithelial connective tissue.
- T2: Tumor invades corpora spongiosum or cavernosum.
- T3: Tumor invades the urethra or prostate.

T4: Tumor invades other adjacent structures.

The WHO histopathological classification is as follows:

Grade 1 - Well differentiated, with 33% undifferentiated cells

Grade 2 - Moderately differentiated, with 33%-66% undifferentiated cells

Grade 3 - Poorly differentiated, with more than 66% undifferentiated cells

REFERENCES

 Barnholtz-Sloan JS, Maldonado JL, Pow-sang J, Giuliano AR. Incidence trends in primary malignant penile cancer. *Urol Oncol.* Sep-Oct 2007;25(5):361-7. [Medline].

Localized Penile Cancer Glans (Squamous Cell Carcinoma of Glans Penis Case Report)

- 2. Seyam RM, Bissada NK, Mokhtar AA, et al. Outcome of penile cancer in circumcised men. *J Urol*. Feb 2006;175(2):557-61; discussion 561. [Medline].
- 3. Madsen BS, van den Brule AJ, Jensen HL, Wohlfahrt J, Frisch M. Risk factors for squamous cell carcinoma of the penis--population-based case-control study in Denmark. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* Oct 2008;17(10):2683-91. [Medline].
- 4. Harish K, Ravi R. The role of tobacco in penile carcinoma. *Br J Urol.* Mar 1995;75(3):375-7. [Medline].
- Maden C, Sherman KJ, Beckmann AM, Hislop TG, Teh CZ, Ashley RL, et al. History of circumcision, medical conditions, and sexual activity and risk of penile cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* Jan 6 1993;85(1):19-24. [Medline].
- Hellberg D, Valentin J, Eklund T, Nilsson S. Penile cancer: is there an epidemiological role for smoking and sexual behaviour?. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. Nov 21 1987;295(6609):1306-8. [Medline].
- 7. de Paula AA, Netto JC, Freitas R Jr, et al. Penile carcinoma: the role of koilocytosis in groin metastasis and the association with disease specific survival. *J Urol*. Apr 2007;177(4):1339-43; discussion 1343. [Medline].
- 8. Mannweiler S, Sygulla S, Beham-Schmid C, et al. Penile carcinogenesisinalow-incidencearea:aclinicopathologic and molecular analysis of 115 invasive carcinomas with special emphasis on chronic inflammatory skin diseases. *Am J Surg Pathol.* Jul 2011;35(7):998-1006. [Medline].
- 9. Rippentrop JM, Joslyn SA, Konety BR. Squamous cell carcinoma of the penis: evaluation of data from the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results program. *Cancer*. Sep 15 2004;101(6):1357-63. [Medline].
- Graafland NM, Teertstra HJ, Besnard AP, van Boven HH, Horenblas S. Identification of high risk pathological node positive penile carcinoma: value of preoperative computerized tomography imaging. *J Urol.* Mar 2011;185(3):881-7. [Medline].
- 11. Tabatabaei S, Harisinghani M, McDougal WS. Regional lymph node staging using lymphotropic nanoparticle enhanced magnetic resonance imaging with ferumoxtran-10 in patients with penile cancer. *J Urol.* Sep 2005;174(3):923-7; discussion 927. [Medline].

- Schlenker B, Scher B, Tiling R, et al. Detection of inguinal lymph node involvement in penile squamous cell carcinoma by 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose PET/CT: A prospective single-center study. Urol Oncol. Jan 2012;30(1):55-9. [Medline].
- Kroon BK, Horenblas S, Deurloo EE, Nieweg OE, Teertstra HJ. Ultrasonography-guided fine-needle aspiration cytology before sentinel node biopsy in patients with penile carcinoma. *BJU Int.* Mar 2005;95(4):517-21. [Medline].
- 14. Kroon BK, Horenblas S, Meinhardt W, et al. Dynamic sentinel node biopsy in penile carcinoma: evaluation of 10 years experience. *Eur Urol.* May 2005;47(5):601-6; discussion 606. [Medline].
- 15. Tabatabaei S, McDougal WS. Invasive carcinoma of the penis: management and prognosis. In: Ritchie JP, D'Amico AV, eds. *Urologic Oncology*. Philadelphia: Elsevier/Saunders; 2005:710-22.
- 16. Campos RS, Lopes A, Guimaraes GC, et al. E-cadherin, MMP-2, and MMP-9 as prognostic markers in penile cancer: analysis of 125 patients. *Urology*. Apr 2006;67(4):797-802. [Medline].
- 17. Guimaraes GC, Leal ML, Campos RS, et al. Do proliferating cell nuclear antigen and MIB-1/Ki-67 have prognostic value in penile squamous cell carcinoma?. *Urology*. Jul 2007;70(1):137-42. [Medline].
- Stankiewicz E, Ng M, Cuzick J, Mesher D, Watkin N, Lam W, et al. The prognostic value of Ki-67 expression in penile squamous cell carcinoma. *J Clin Pathol*. Jun 2012;65(6):534-7. [Medline].
- 19. Solsona E, Algaba F, Horenblas S, et al. EAU Guidelines on Penile Cancer. *Eur Urol*. Jul 2004;46(1):1-8. [Medline].
- 20. Novara G, Artibani W, Cunico SC, et al. How accurately do Solsona and European Association of Urology risk groups predict for risk of lymph node metastases in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the penis?. *Urology*. Feb 2008;71(2):328-33. [Medline].
- 21. Bermejo C, Busby JE, Spiess PE, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by aggressive surgical consolidation for metastatic penile squamous cell carcinoma. *J Urol.* Apr 2007;177(4):1335-8. [Medline].

Citation: Mohammed Abdul Qader Al-Malmi, Tawfeq Hassan Albadani, "Localized Penile Cancer Glans (Squamous Cell Carcinoma of Glans Penis Case Report)", Universal Library of Medical and Health Sciences, 2024; 2(1): 44-46.

Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.